# EXHIBIT C

1	A Ob week totally at Congoi was
1	A Oh, yeah, totally at Sensei, yes.
2	Q Okay.
3	A In there, basically, I had access to
4	the two files, a flat file, so XLS and CSV file at
5	Sensei. And I had access to the loaded
6	IntangibleSpring data in SQL Server. And then I
7	then took the since he hadn't done it, I then
8	took the RoyaltyStat data and loaded that in.
9	Q Okay.
10	A And I assume that's what your expert
11	used, I don't know, or if he did it himself.
12	But as of you know, I don't believe
13	there was another other than what I loaded in,
14	I don't know where this he may have had to load
15	it in because he probably wouldn't have access to
16	the data I had.
17	Q Now, your report says that you did
18	first did a preliminary match of
19	licensee/licensor, royalty rate between the
20	IntangibleSpring and the RoyaltyStat database.
21	A Yes.
22	Q How did you do this?

1	A Using SQL.
2	Q Walk me through the steps to do this.
3	A Okay. So, basically how should I
4	assume you know anything, or you're totally
5	non-technical? I'm sorry.
6	Q I know a little bit about SQL. But for
7	the purposes of the deposition transcript, and for
8	the purposes of the judge, who has no time to
9	learn SQL, let's try to be as parochial as we can.
10	A So explain everything?
11	Q Please.
12	A Okay. So you had Table A and Table B.
13	So each one had several columns.
14	Q And, I'm sorry to interrupt you. But
15	when you say "Table A and Table B"
16	A I'm sorry. Table A, we'll just say,
17	will have the IntangibleSpring database and the
18	RoyaltyStat database. And they are two tables
19	loaded up. And what I want to do is I basically
20	want to say where and we'll just we'll use
21	RS and IS. How about that? So.
22	RS has a field called licensor. IS has

1	a field called licensor. RS has a field called
2	licensee. So does IS. And they both have one
3	was called, I think I'd have to look but it
4	was either royalty or royalty rate. The other
5	one, I think, is called royalty rate high.
6	So you basically can write a query that
7	match the two together. And you basically say
8	that okay, let me back up again.
9	Each table has a field. It's called
10	"primary key," it's an identifier, unique
11	identifier. And in RoyaltyStat's case, it's
12	called auto ID, and IntangibleSpring's case it's
13	called ID. To that with that number, you can
14	go in and pull out any records you want on both
15	sides.
16	So what I did is I wrote a query that
17	would give me basically it would say RS,
18	RS.licensor equals IS.licensor and RS.licensee
19	equals RS.licensee, and royalty rate equals the
20	two royalty rates equal. So when you put these
21	two equal, you then get all the results coming
22	back.

1	I preliminarily did this and I got
2	back I'm going to guess I don't know, 2- or
3	3,000 results.
4	I then looked at it okay, let me
5	back up. There were about 10,000-plus records in
6	RoyaltyStat, and I believe there's around 17
7	15-, 17,000 in IntangibleSpring. I don't have the
8	exact numbers, but it's approximately that.
9	Q I understand.
10	A And I looked at this and I wasn't very
11	happy. I was, like, well why is what I consider
12	the error rate so high?
13	Q I'm sorry. When you say "error
14	rate"
15	A The error rate numbers. Because if I
16	only matched, say, 2- or 3,000 of 10,000. Now, of
17	the 17,000 in IntangibleSpring, I'm only trying to
18	match the ones in RoyaltyStat.
19	So I'm only I don't care what's in
20	IntangibleSpring outside of the match for
21	RoyaltyStat. So, essentially, I'm just saying,
22	okay and I'm going to use the number 10,000.

1	It was, like 10,500 or something. But out of the
2	10,000 records, if I could match, say, 2- or 3,000
3	of them initially, why can't I match the rest?
4	So, essentially, I started looking to
5	see where the other ones didn't match.
6	So the first thing I looked at, you
7	know, basically, I was just looking across, and I
8	realized that so in some cases you have a
9	license you know, I'll use the field licensor.
10	You might say the licensor is Walmart. In another
11	case you might say it's Walmart and John Smith.
12	So in RoyaltyStat's case, whenever they
12 13	So in RoyaltyStat's case, whenever they have a person, they had they would put John
13	have a person, they had they would put John
13 14	have a person, they had they would put John Smith, an individual. In IntangibleSpring's case
13 14 15	have a person, they had they would put John Smith, an individual. In IntangibleSpring's case you just and so it might say, like, Walmart,
<ul><li>13</li><li>14</li><li>15</li><li>16</li></ul>	have a person, they had they would put John Smith, an individual. In IntangibleSpring's case you just and so it might say, like, Walmart, either comma or semicolon. Walmart, John Smith.
<ul><li>13</li><li>14</li><li>15</li><li>16</li><li>17</li></ul>	have a person, they had they would put John Smith, an individual. In IntangibleSpring's case you just and so it might say, like, Walmart, either comma or semicolon. Walmart, John Smith. This other one might be Walmart, John Smith. Now,
13 14 15 16 17	have a person, they had they would put John Smith, an individual. In IntangibleSpring's case you just and so it might say, like, Walmart, either comma or semicolon. Walmart, John Smith. This other one might be Walmart, John Smith. Now, whether it's comma or semicolon, there are
13 14 15 16 17 18	have a person, they had they would put John Smith, an individual. In IntangibleSpring's case you just and so it might say, like, Walmart, either comma or semicolon. Walmart, John Smith. This other one might be Walmart, John Smith. Now, whether it's comma or semicolon, there are differences between database. But essentially,

v 15, 2019 42

1	the error rate to go up higher.
2	I then well, before that, I actually
3	had to deal with one actually has commas in
4	between and I think one has semicolons. I had to
5	deal with that.
6	I think I did this one or two times. I
7	eventually got up to in the 5- to 6,000-match
8	range.
9	I then looked at that and said, okay,
10	if I'm getting 50 to 60 percent data, that's
11	acceptable.
12	My belief is if I kept looking, I could
13	get better. And so
14	Q I don't mean to interject.
15	A Okay.
16	Q I just want to interrupt you just for a
17	second, just so I understand.
18	When you were performing this analysis,
19	you were looking for the similarities between
20	licensee/licensor royalty rate.
21	A Uh-huh.
22	Q Why were you what was the premise or

1	the hypothesis in looking at those first?
2	A So when I started with this, I actually
3	hadn't realized that there was this key in
4	IntangibleSpring, that if I looked at the document
5	number, I would actually find, and could use that
6	as I could.
7	So I looked at the fields that were
8	provided. The ID the auto ID on one side
9	doesn't match the ID on the other side.
10	Q Sure.
11	A So I started looking at fields and
12	thinking, okay, well, what's going to be unique?
13	Based upon my prior knowledge, you
14	know, I knew that, you know, basically, each
15	license agreement is coming from a licensor, and
16	it's going to somebody else, the licensee.
17	And so if you put these together, you
18	get the royalty rate. You may have some that will
19	make it some duplicates. Where there are cases
20	where, you know, Company A licenses Company B.
21	Multiple different things with the exact same
22	rate. It's they're in there. I mean, I'm

1	not there will be some. There is some error in
2	there.
3	But I believe it's statistically pretty
4	insignificant based on what I realize what I
5	found.
6	So pretty much, because I'd gone
7	through if the like, RoyaltyStat, I believe,
8	has a field called "Exhibit Number," like 10
9	you know, 10.4 or something. But IntangibleSpring
10	didn't. So because if I had had that,
11	actually, I could have gone back.
12	There was no I looked at, you know,
12 13	There was no I looked at, you know, basically, IntangibleSpring didn't put you
13	basically, IntangibleSpring didn't put you
13 14	basically, IntangibleSpring didn't put you know, didn't put more of the source information
13 14 15	basically, IntangibleSpring didn't put you know, didn't put more of the source information that RoyaltyStat had.
13 14 15 16	basically, IntangibleSpring didn't put you know, didn't put more of the source information that RoyaltyStat had.  So I basically was looking to figure
13 14 15 16 17	basically, IntangibleSpring didn't put you know, didn't put more of the source information that RoyaltyStat had.  So I basically was looking to figure out which fields I could use, and I came up with
13 14 15 16 17	basically, IntangibleSpring didn't put you know, didn't put more of the source information that RoyaltyStat had.  So I basically was looking to figure out which fields I could use, and I came up with those three.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	basically, IntangibleSpring didn't put you know, didn't put more of the source information that RoyaltyStat had.  So I basically was looking to figure out which fields I could use, and I came up with those three.  As I said, I mean, later, I did review
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	basically, IntangibleSpring didn't put you know, didn't put more of the source information that RoyaltyStat had.  So I basically was looking to figure out which fields I could use, and I came up with those three.  As I said, I mean, later, I did review the your expert witness testimony. I read his

1	hit me, because he mentioned something about how
2	he had looked at the agreement number in there.
3	Because I did use the agreement number later for
4	another purpose. But I suddenly realized if I had
5	actually used that agreement number, I actually
6	probably could have I probably could have done
7	around 90 percent relatively easily, because the
8	way I'm sure we'll get into this in a moment
9	but the agreement number is in the order of the
10	keys from Royalty. So I could have written a
11	program to go and just walk my way down the two
12	groups in the order of the auto ID from
13	RoyaltyStat and the order of the documents, and I
14	could have matched. I just have to deal with
15	skips.
16	But at that point, maybe even 95,
17	99 percent. Relatively easy if I had done that.
18	But that's not what I chose to do because I
19	actually didn't realize that was there at first.
20	So at first I was working on just
21	matching the data with what I saw.
22	Q Okay. So you got this result of 5- to

```
6,000 matches.
1
2
          Α
               Uh-huh.
3
               And you took this -- it's my
    understanding of your testimony, that you took
4
5
    this 5- to 6,000 match as a representative sample
6
    of the IntangibleSpring database as a whole; is
7
    that correct?
8
          Α
               Yes.
9
               What did you do next to analyze these
          Q
    matches that you had identified?
10
11
          Α
               So I then -- I looked at certain
12
             Like I said, I worked my way across and I
13
    looked at some of the fields. So I looked at,
14
    like -- well, first I started looking at, like,
15
    territory -- what I will call -- so when you have
16
    a database, you typically have, essentially, what
17
    I would call reference tables. So, for example,
18
    like, territory or country.
19
                So these are -- typically, you're
20
    pulling from a drop-down list and someone would
21
    say, you know, "This agreement is related to nine
22
    states," or "This agreement is related to
```

47

1 something." 2 So, typically, there's a drop-down 3 where there's something you're picking from a 4 list. 5 So I was looking for -- I was going 6 through these other fields to see if they were 7 similar or not. Now, clearly, a country list is a 8 country list. There's nothing, you know, to say. 9 There's not. You know, and, essentially, I was 10 trying to see how close they were. What I found 11 is most of them, they are either things like 12 country, where I felt that even if they were the 13 same, they are -- there's no difference on them, 14 or they -- IntangibleSpring had added additional 15 things in. 16 So, for example, exclusivity in the 17 RoyaltyStat case is, I believe, yes, there were 18 unknown. And in the IntangibleSpring case it's 19 exclusive, nonexclusive, unknown, and then they've 20 got, like, eight or ten other things. 21 Now, I don't know how many of those. Ι 22 didn't actually go through and do a frequency

1	count. But essentially I determined, looking at
2	those, there wasn't enough variation in them
3	or, I'm sorry there wasn't another commonality
4	in them to be able to point out that this was
5	significantly that they were significantly the
6	same that was different than what I felt would
7	just happen. So essentially I was sort of working
8	my way through.
9	You know, I did look and sort of but
10	I knew that one of the main things I wanted to be
11	looking at, eventually, was the description field.
12	So eventually I got over to the description field.
13	Q And I want to stop you there because
14	there's some stuff that I want to ask you. We'll
15	get to the description field in a bit. But
16	there's some things I want to ask you about your
17	conclusions.
18	In your report you conclude that
19	approximately 50 to 60 percent of the data
20	provided by RoyaltyStat had been matched with
21	IntangibleSpring's database.
22	A Uh-huh.